

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8                   AT TACOMA

9                   LINDA S. HOUSE,

10                  Plaintiff,

11                  v.

12                  CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
13                  Commissioner of the Social Security  
14                  Administration,

15                  Defendant.

16                   CASE NO. 13-cv-05896 BHS

17                   REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
18                  ON STIPULATED MOTION FOR  
19                  REMAND

20                  This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28  
21 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates Rule MJR 4(a)(4), and as authorized by *Mathews*,  
22 *Secretary of H.E.W. v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). This matter is before the Court on  
23 defendant's stipulated motion to remand the matter to the Administration for further  
24 consideration. (ECF No. 26)

25                  After reviewing defendant's stipulated motion and the relevant record, the undersigned  
26 recommends that the Court grant defendant's motion, and reverse and remand this matter to the  
27 Acting Commissioner pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

28                  On remand, based on the parties' stipulation, this Court recommends that the above-  
29 captioned case be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for

1 further administrative proceedings, including, but not limited to, the following actions: On  
2 remand the Appeals Council will direct the administrative law judge (ALJ) to provide plaintiff  
3 with the opportunity for a new hearing; the ALJ will consider the new evidence of Dr. Lewis'  
4 October 28, 2012 psychiatric review technique form opinion report, Dr. Lewis' October 28, 2012  
5 medical source statement opinion report, and Dr. Lewis' October 24, 2012 psychological  
6 evaluation; the ALJ will reevaluate plaintiff's possible drug and alcohol problems; the ALJ will  
7 reevaluate and specify the weight given to the medical opinion evidence, including the opinions  
8 of Dr. Gardner and Dr. Bailey (Tr. 393-396, 468), Dr. Burke (Tr. 359-362), Dr. Lewis (Tr. 363-  
9 371, 372-381), and Dr. Sattar (Tr. 618-620); if necessary, the ALJ will obtain clarification of the  
10 medical opinions and if any portion of the opinion evidence is discounted, the ALJ will state the  
11 reasons for the discounting the opinion; if necessary, the ALJ will update the medical record with  
12 a neurological evaluation of plaintiff to determine if plaintiff suffers from brain damage; the ALJ  
13 will reevaluate plaintiff's maximum residual functional capacity; the ALJ will reevaluate  
14 plaintiff's credibility; and, if necessary, the ALJ will obtain supplemental vocational expert  
15 testimony regarding plaintiff's ability to perform past relevant work or, alternatively, work that  
16 exists in significant numbers in the national economy.

17 Given the facts and the parties' stipulation, the Court recommends that the District Judge  
18 immediately approve this Report and Recommendation and order that the case be **REVERSED**  
19 and **REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

20 Dated this 21st day of March, 2014.

21   
22

23 J. Richard Creatura  
United States Magistrate Judge  
24